

1 SHEPPARD, MULLIN, RICHTER & HAMPTON LLP  
A Limited Liability Partnership  
2 Including Professional Corporations  
TRACEY A. KENNEDY, Cal. Bar No. 150782  
3 333 South Hope Street, 43rd Floor  
Los Angeles, California 90071-1422  
4 Telephone: 213.620.1780  
Facsimile: 213.620.1398  
5 Email: tkennedy@sheppardmullin.com

6 PATRICIA M. JENG, Cal. Bar No. 272262  
REANNE SWAFFORD-HARRIS, Cal. Bar No. 305558  
7 Four Embarcadero Center, 17<sup>th</sup> Floor  
San Francisco, California 94111-4109  
8 Telephone: 415.434.9100  
Facsimile: 415.434.3947  
9 Email: pjeng@sheppardmullin.com  
rswafford-harris@sheppardmullin.com

10  
11 Attorneys for Defendants,  
TESLA, INC. DBA TESLA MOTORS, INC.  
12

13 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

14 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

15 DEMETRIC DI-AZ, OWEN DIAZ AND  
LAMAR PATTERSON

16 Plaintiffs,

17 v.

18 TESLA, INC. DBA TESLA MOTORS,  
19 INC., CITISTAFF SOLUTIONS, INC.;  
WEST VALLEY STAFFING GROUP;  
20 CHARTWELL STAFFING SERVICES,  
INC.; NEXTSOURCE, INC.; and  
21 DOES 1-10, inclusive

22 Defendants.

Case No. 3:17-cv-06748-WHO

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING TESLA,  
INC.'S MOTION TO RETAIN  
CONFIDENTIALITY OF DOCUMENTS  
PURSUANT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER**

*[Filed concurrently with the Notice of Motion and  
Memorandum of Points and Authorities,  
Declaration of Reanne Swafford-Harris and  
Declaration of Brandon Ward]*

Date: January 8, 2020  
Time: 2:00 p.m.  
Courtroom: 2, 17<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Judge: Hon. William H. Orrick

Complaint Filed: October 16, 2017  
Trial Date: March 2, 2020

1 On \_\_\_\_\_, Defendant Tesla, Inc.’s Motion to Retain Confidentiality of Documents  
2 Pursuant to Protective Order came on for hearing before this Court. Counsel for Plaintiff and  
3 Defendants both appeared.

4 Having considered the papers filed in support of and in opposition to Defendant’s Motion  
5 to Retain Confidentiality of Documents Pursuant to Protective Order, as well as the oral argument  
6 on the same, the Court finds that there is good cause to **GRANT** Defendant’s Motion. The Court  
7 therefore **ORDERS** that Defendant’s documents shall retain their “CONFIDENTIAL” designation  
8 pursuant to the Parties’ Stipulated and Protective Order.

9 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

10  
11  
12 DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
The Hon. William H. Orrick  
USDC, Northern District of California